

---

**From:** \*  
**Date:** Tuesday, 3 September 2024 10:06 p.m.  
**To:** <info@ipca.govt.nz>  
**Subject:** Shona Low reording

Dear Judge Johnson KC

We are disappointed that even though we wrote directly to you, The Authority, you did not respond to our June 2024 letter and delegated responding to staff. We take Kevin's email reply as acting on your behalf, The Authority.

We are disappointed that your response made no reference to the fabrication of *Ministry's internal protocol* by either:

- Christine Menzies - this would mean she flat out lied to Police and Police excepted another weak lie, without inquiry
- Shona Low (Police) – to IPCA to cover for Christine Menzies. This would be misconduct. We note again, she did not record any discussion about, or reference to the fabricated *internal protocols* in her Christine Menzies Interview Notes
- Cath Anyan (IPCA) – in attempt to shut down our complaint against Shona Low

To reiterate, we see the fabrication of *Ministry internal protocols* as misleading and deceptive - regardless of which party made it up.

Under separate email we are providing The Authority with a copy of the recording taken by Callum during his meeting with Shona Low, Police, last year, in relation to our Police complaint against MoE representative Christine Menzies (18.35 minutes 'small talk' trimmed from start of recording). Even though you did not request the audio recording, we are providing it for full disclosure as we intend to release it publicly, along with correspondence in relation to our complaint against Police. The recording validates the quotes we used in our IPCA complaint letters.

Your email states, ***The Authority is satisfied that the Police determination that there was insufficient evidence to prove that Ms Menzies deliberately misled the investigator was reasonable in the circumstances.***

CT:

"Wouldn't you think when the Police wrote I've contacted Christine Menzies and there is no current guidelines, don't ya think when Christine Menzies read that report she would have gone, hey Brian, that's not true"

SL:

"I don't know why she didn't."

CT:

"Did you ask her?"

SL:

**"No I didn't ask her."**

CT:

"So what did you ask her?"

SL:

*"I asked her exactly what your complaint was whether she had any intention to deceive"*

CT:

*"and she said no and you just walked away and went that's good enough for me."*

SL:

*m-hmm (grunts in acknowledgement)*

Ministry of Education Manager Christine Menzies did deliberately mislead Police Investigator Brian Cameron – because she admits (to Shona Low) she knew about the guidelines Brian Cameron was asking her for, but she withheld them from him (giving Shona Low invalid reasons). He was misled because he then went on to write in the Police Report "no National Guidelines were in existence" when guidelines did exist. Christine Menzies had plenty of opportunities to be correct, be truthful and honest, but chose not to be.

The Authority has either fabricated evidence or accepted fabricated evidence (after being informed) and rejected actual evidence and is therefore complicit in the cover-up.

Callum and Victoria Turnbull